

## Police & Crime Commissioner for Cleveland Cleveland Community Safety Hub 1 Cliffland Way Middlesbrough TS8 9GL

Email: pcc@cleveland.pnn.police.uk Website: http://www.cleveland.pcc.police.uk

## **Cleveland Police Ethics Committee**

## Minutes

Date: Wednesday 29 April 2020 Time: 14:00 Venue: Via Conference Call

| Attendees:        |   |
|-------------------|---|
| Name              | Role  |
| Dave Smith        | Committee Chair                                     |
| Richard Smith     | Committee Vice Chair                                |
| Tresor Bukasa     | Committee Member                                    |
| Georgina Fletcher | Committee Member                                    |
| Khan Hanif        | Committee Member                                    |
| Craig Marshall    | Committee Member                                    |
| Stuart Green      | Committee Member                                    |
| Jenni Salkeld     | EDI Manager – Cleveland OPCC                        |
| Isaac Holmes      | EDI Officer – Cleveland OPCC                        |
| Liz Byrne         | Acting Assistant Chief Executive – Cleveland OPCC   |
| Ian Arundale      | Temporary Deputy Chief Constable – Cleveland Police |
| Roger Whiteley    | Service Improvement Team – Cleveland Police         |
| Charlotte Rumins  | Community Hub Advisor – Cleveland OPCC (Minutes)    |

## Apologies:

| Name | Role |
|------|------|
|      |      |

| No. | Discussed  | Outcome/Decision/<br>Attachment |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|
| 1   | Introduction and Apologies   |                                 |
|     | Dave Smith opened the meeting with a round of introduction and<br>noted that Abbas Momtazi has recently resigned from the<br>Committee.        |                                 |
|     | It was noted that the minutes of the previous meeting have not yet<br>been approved for circulation and they would be circulated to<br>follow. |                                 |

| 2 | Declarations of Conflicts of Interest (if any)  |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | No conflicts of interest were declared.   |  |
| 3 | Submissions   |  |
|   | Key Worker Benefits   |  |
|   | JS and IA provided an overview of the submission in relation to Officer uptake of key worker benefits during Covid-19 and the public perception of these behaviours.  |  |
|   | GF noted that she felt that if the Police have been defined in the government guidance as front line key workers, which they have been, she does not feel there is an issue with them receiving the benefits. In response to the example of quicker access to supermarkets, she felt that if they were on a short lunch break and needed to avail themselves to this opportunity it would be more appropriate than if they had used this opportunity in their spare time. |  |
|   | DS queried whether the reaction would have been the same if it had<br>been a nurse who had been taken to the front of the queue as the<br>public's perception of the police as key workers may differ as a<br>result of the focus on NHS and care workers   |  |
|   | TB noted that it would be good practice for the supermarkets to also<br>provide a notice on their doors that they will prioritise key workers<br>with a definition of who would fall under the key worker category as<br>outlined by the government and when prioritising the key worker<br>the supermarket security should also ask whether the person is in a<br>rush or not.   |  |
|   | RS noted that from his experience uniformed officers have joined<br>the queue in a discrete way until invited to go to the front but that<br>he felt members of the public's overwhelming view was that they<br>should be invited to go to the front of the queue.  |  |
|   | DS noted that police officers need to be cognisant of the public perception but that they should be able to avail themselves of the benefits as they are key workers. They should also ensure they are parking appropriately when utilising the benefits.   |  |
|   | Policing Powers   |  |
|   | IA provided an overview of the submission and noted that the Force<br>are dealing with enforcement for public health reasons and are now<br>considering using punitive powers to control and constrain assembly<br>and free movement. IA noted that there has not been a large<br>number of complaints in response to the Covid-19 Policing Powers.   |  |
|   | DS noted that he and RS have recently provided a written response<br>to the Force on a number of issues raised in relation to the Covid-19<br>Policing Powers.  |  |

RS noted that his view is that the overwhelming majority are happy with the balance that has been applied in relation to the powers.

GF noted that the powers will be reviewed at certain time points to ensure that they are still appropriate and she feels that this is important. She added that the four E's are important and she would be concerned if Officers were moving through these to enforcement too quickly. She added that she has concerns that officers should not be tempted to use the powers as a tool of intervention for a non-Covid-19 related issue.

KH noted that he feels it is currently too soon to comment on the use of the powers and until we are a few months into the situation it will be difficult to tell if they have been used proportionately or not.

TB noted that he understands the measures are available in the short term and the powers should continue to be reviewed at the appropriate points in time to ensure they are proportionate to the current situation.

DS noted that the public in general seem to be willing to be cooperative with the requirements but there are some countries where similar restrictions are leading to a reaction from the public. If this were to be the case locally we would be in a different ethical position.

CM noted that a great deal of the issue is dependent upon the individual police officer, their manner of addressing the issue and common sense. CM queried what training officers have received to address the issues.

IA noted that daily updates are issued in relation to good practice and training which includes examples of lessons learnt from across the country for supervisors to brief their staff. Due to the speed of the spread of the virus there have been no formal training courses produced and delivered and training is instead provided within briefings. IA also noted that the enforcement is low level, usually a fixed penalty notice, and if there is an additional offence the enforcement for the main offence is to be used as opposed to the additional Covid-19 enforcement.

LB noted that discussions have also taken place through the PCC Scrutiny process and that the PCC is largely supportive of the Force's approach of consent based policing.

TB noted that the new regulations also provided the government with the power to renew any of the new regulations every six months and recommended that some form of appropriate training, including the option for online training, should be provided to frontline staff.

IA noted that there are a number of information videos readily available on the Force intranet site, if the regulations were to remain

| for two years formal training would be rolled out but the Force are<br>currently still in the major incident phase and training in its usual<br>form could therefore not be managed at this time.   |  |
|---|--|
| Social Distancing   |  |
| It was noted that officers and staff are facing ethical dilemmas in relation to the dangers of carrying out their duties where they cannot comply with social distancing requirements.  |  |
| KH noted that individual circumstances would differ based on their<br>own home circumstances. CM noted that the challenge is similar to<br>medical personnel but PPE may not be used if officers were required<br>to have direct physical contact with members of the public and<br>queried what PPE is available to officers.  |  |
| IA noted that officers are using the same criteria and specifications<br>as recommended to medical staff but the difficulty is that the<br>situations sometimes happen too quickly for PPE to be considered<br>and applied or it may not remain appropriately in place in<br>circumstances such as arrest. There is also the difficulty of not<br>knowing where Covid-19 is a risk factor when responding to a<br>situation. IA noted that when a person is in dangerthe prime focus of<br>police officers is to put themselves forward and assist.               |  |
| CM queried whether there are any statistics available in relation to<br>the numbers of staff who have contracted Covid-19. IA agreed to<br>supply national figures in the most appropriate manner.  |  |
| DS noted that the duty of protecting life overrides the fact that the<br>Police officer may contract Covid-19 when facing a situation. IA<br>compared the situation to the BAU approach to officers dealing with<br>an incident where a member of the public may be armed with a<br>knife and appropriate PPE is provided to the best of the Force's<br>ability.  |  |
| DS noted that there are likely to be officers and staff who have pre-<br>existing conditions which would increase their vulnerability to the<br>virus. IA noted that this has been asked of staff and the wellbeing of<br>staff and officers is being considered throughout. Special measures<br>would be implemented for those officers and staff if required. It was<br>noted that the Silver command team are also driving forward testing<br>for key workers and ensuring staff and officers are aware they are<br>able to be tested as they are key workers. |  |
| Social Media  |  |
| An overview of the dilemma was provided and it was noted that<br>there are risks with inadvertently highlighting individuals, as police<br>officers, and materials which may be in the background when<br>entering pictures on Facebook.  |  |
| DS noted that he and CM have come across two examples of the particular dilemma through their work on the ICSP and provided an  |  |

| the public being targeted<br>officer within their comm<br>sensitive information is n  | es. One example had led to a member of<br>and the other had caused issue for a police<br>unity. DS noted the importance of ensuring<br>ot divulged inappropriately even when the<br>ting the images are known.  |                                 |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| valuable quality and he f   | connection with a bobby on the beat is a<br>reels that on the one hand the posting of<br>er, he felt that it may put some officers in   |                                 |
| currently in a public facing  | ssues is that you could be an officer that is<br>ng role and could then move into a more<br>nce your image is shared on the internet it   |                                 |
| guidance on what they   | ee to which officers are provided with<br>should and shouldn't put into the public<br>alleviate some of the potential risks and   |                                 |
|   | mission will be referred back to John<br>r the current policy in relation to social   |                                 |
|   | e difficult balance with the submission and<br>n when posting on social media identifying<br>n the Force.   |                                 |
|   | ge should not contain any third party not be something which could potentially  |                                 |
| GM noted that she assun before images of member   | nes there would be an element of consent is of staff are posted.  |                                 |
| DS asked that this matter representation from the c   | be revisited within a future meeting with ommunications team.   | Agenda item for next<br>meeting |
| RW noted that every new<br>Ethics on the dangers of s   | v recruit receives input from Standards & ocial media.  |                                 |
| Spit Hoods  |   |                                 |
| issues around the use o<br>same. They should be se<br>equipment available to f<br>where the use can be<br>consequences to others. | ittee have previously discussed the ethical<br>f 'spithoods' and their view remains the<br>een as an essential part of the protective<br>rontline officers but should only be used<br>justified and with consideration of any<br>An increased use of 'spithoods' in the<br>buld not raise any additional ethical issues |                                 |
| KH noted that the safety  | of the officer is paramount and it would be   |                                 |

|   | appropriate for officers line managers to provide additional guidance if required.   Welfare of Families  |
|---|---|
|   | GF noted she felt it would be possible to ask officers and staff to<br>come forward if they have concerns in respect of the welfare of<br>their families and they could then, potentially, be moved to duties<br>which would provide a lower risk and queried whether this would be<br>the case. IA noted that the welfare of staff and their families is<br>considered and the concerns are dealt with on a common sense<br>basis as and when they are presented.              |
|   | DS noted the point of testing and queried where the police currently<br>sit in relation to the priority for testing. IA noted that police officers<br>and staff could have been considered earlier but testing and tracking<br>is now an available option.  |
|   | DS noted that police officers are obliged to act in certain ways which<br>could put them at risk but that they also need to take all of the<br>protective actions that are required of them to keep themselves and<br>their families safe and to self-identify if they present any of the<br>known symptoms.  |
| 4 | Any Other Business  |
|   | KH asked if there has been an increase identified of burglaries since<br>the Covid-19 situation. IA noted that broadly there has been a 25%<br>decrease of the major crime categories and these figures reflect<br>nationally.  |
|   | Discussions took place in relation to the use of Webex and CM noted<br>that he had issues joining via phone in the first instance. DS noted<br>that it does feel slightly dislocated talking to people you are unable<br>to see. DS noted that it may be worth exploring the visual aspect for<br>future meetings. LB noted that asking attendees their views<br>individually as opposed to opening up the discussion has also<br>worked effectively on other conference calls. |
|   | IA noted that in the circumstances the attendees have delivered great responses to the submissions.   |