
From: COPPINGER, Barry (C8513)
Sent: 09 July 2020 15:13
To: DENNIS, Simon (C8527); OLDROYD, Lisa (C8886); [REDACTED] SMITH, Hannah (C8653); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Targets based on 'crude outcomes', IOPC challenges Met, a CC takes a dip and more...

Also, if the 'GOVERNANCE Performance of PCCs 'should be subject of HMCIC inspection' generates a debate – I would wish to say that, in order to satisfy that requirement, there would need to be measures implemented to make the PCCs powers of scrutiny more intrusive – and – HMIC should be prepared to release information re professional failings, which would leave senior officers open to possible disciplinary action where info has been withheld, or PCC has been misled.

Could be interesting debate?

Regards
Barry

From: COPPINGER, Barry (C8513)
Sent: 09 July 2020 15:05
To: DENNIS, Simon (C8527) <Simon.Dennis@cleveland.pnn.police.uk>; OLDROYD, Lisa (C8886) <Lisa.Oldroyd3@cleveland.pnn.police.uk>; [REDACTED] SMITH, Hannah (C8653) <Hannah.Smith@cleveland.pnn.police.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: FW: Targets based on 'crude outcomes', IOPC challenges Met, a CC takes a dip and more...

See below – would be useful to get copy of article re [REDACTED]
Given this is now a national story, I'll also share my letter with APCC to circulate.
Regards
Barry







