



THE POLICE & CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR CLEVELAND

DECISION RECORD FORM

REQUEST:						
For PCC Approval						
Title:						
PCC Contributions Towards Local Childrens Safeguard	ding Board	s for 2015/	16			
Executive Summary:						
There are four Safeguarding Childrens Boards within Cleveland and the PCC is asked to continue his financial contributions to all four of these Boards, to a similar level to previous years.						
The Boards are continuing their good work and have put together business cases for the 2015/16 year that aim to meet the requirements of relevant legislation and the identified needs of children within Cleveland.						
Decision:						
The PCC is asked to provide a contribution in the form of a grant to each of the four Local Childrens Safeguarding Boards to the values as per below: -						
- Hartlepool - £14,068 - Middlesbrough - £14,689 - Stockton - £16,683 - Redcar and Cleveland - £11,503						
Implications:		, ,				
Has consideration been taken of the following:	Yes	No				
Financial						
Legal						
Equality & Diversity						
Human Rights						
Sustainability						
Risk						
(If yes please provide further details below)						

Decision Required - Supporting Information

Financial Implications: (Must include comments of the PCC's CFO where the decision has financial implications)

The funding for these grants is built into the funding streams for 2015/16 and are therefore affordable.

Legal Implications: (Must include comments of the Monitoring Officer where the decision has legal implication)

Under S13(1) of the Childrens Act (2004) local authorities have a requirement to establish safeguarding boards. Partners such as the PCC have a duty to co-operate and support local authorities in this regard.

Grant agreements will be awarded in accordance with the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.

Equality and Diversity Implications

The grant will support work with children from all areas of the Cleveland community.

Human Rights Implications

There are no known Human Rights implications.

Sustainability Implications

The work of the boards is to enhance the social environment of CLeveland. The funding can be sustained for 2015/16.

Risk Management Implications

There are not any significant risks associated with supporting the Safeguarding Boards.

OFFICER APPROVAL

Chief Executive

I have been consulted about the decision and confirm that financial, legal, and equalities advice has been taken into account. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Police and Crime Commissioner.

Signed:	anoul	Luni	Date:	5	/3/	115	****
.1.	J	1					
					,		

Police and Crime Commissioner:			
The above request HAS / DOES NOT HAVE my approval.			
\sim		/ /	
Signed: 3Com	Date:	5/3/15	
		· /	